

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,553	06/18/2001	Robert Wastlhuber	56/353	2380
7590 08/10/2006			EXAMINER	
JOHN C. FREEMAN			QURESHI, AFSAR M	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/884,553	WASTLHUBER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Afsar M. Qureshi	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ma	ay 2005.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 2-28,36,38-45,47,48 and 50-55 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2-28,36,38-45,47,48 and 50-55 is/are Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 2/17/06,3/13/06.	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2616

Response to Amendment

1. This action is responsive to RCE and amendments received on 5/23/2006.

Claims 1, 29-35, 37, 46 and 49 remain cancelled in the Application. Claims 2, 3, 5, 7,

11, 14 and 22 are amended. Applicant included limitations of claim 1 into claim 22 and cancelled claim 1.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed on 5/23/2006 are same as filed on 10/28/2005. The Examiner maintains same response, dated 1/23/2005, context of which is included herein.

Applicant argued that Rehm et al. (US 5,909,371) is silent whether the data during periods RZ1 and RZ2 regards transmitted up-to-date position data. The Examiner contends that the data between RZ1 and RZ2 is an 'updated' data, as is evident from col. 3, lines 45-61, and can be regarded as claimed "up-to-date position data".

Applicant further argued that the word "always" was omitted in the rejection of claim 1 (now in claim 22). However it is evident from col. 2, lines 42-53 (Rehm), the not time-critical data is only interrupted and always resumed immediately after pending other non-time-critical computer processes.

The rational to modify or combine the prior art does not have to be expressly stated in the prior art; the rational may be, expressly or impliedly, revealed from knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, established scientific

principles or legal precedent established by prior case laws. *In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5USPQ2d1596* (Fed. Cir. 1988), and, *Ex Parte Levengood, 28 USPDQ 2d 1300.* All those variations in the alternative structure that fall within the scope of this invention can readily be conceived by one of skill in the art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2-10, 16-24, 26, 28, 36, 38-45, 47, 48, 50-55 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hagl et al. ('Hagl'), US 5,687,103 in view of Rehm et al. ('Rehm), US 5,909,371 and in further view of Kent (US 5,371,859)

Regarding claims 2, 22-24, 26, 36 and 47

Hagl discloses a method or device for serial data transmission between a position measuring system and a processing unit (See Fig. 1, blocks 100 and 400, RZ1-2, R12, R13, R11 processors), comprising: transmitting position data and further data from said position measuring system to said processing unit in serial form as digital data words (*Measuring device transmit the angle position as a binary data word to the processing unit.* See column 3, lines 3-6); transmitting up-to-date position data between said position measuring system and said processing unit upon transmission of a

position request command (commands from the processing units are sent to the position measuring device to retrieve current data. Status command A is used in the example of this reference. See column. 3, lines 40-54 and 58-64).

Hagl, however, fails to disclose always transmitting further data, whose processing is *not time-critical*, immediately following said transmitting said up-to-date position data.

Rehm, in the same field of endeavor, discloses the process of data, whose processing is not time-critical, immediately following said transmitting the up-to-date position data (Figure 2. RZ1 and RZ2 are time critical data. Non-time critical data of FZI always immediately follow time critical data in figure 2).

As to claims 22-24 and 26, Hagl and Rehm, in combination, fail to specifically disclose that different position request commands can be assigned with different processing priorities.

However, Kent teaches the ability of assigning different levels of priority to a message and messages are processed in the order of assigned priority levels (See column 7, lines 10-24).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hagl and Rehm method to process non-time-critical, immediately following transmitting said up-to-date position data or that of different priority levels to position request commands, the motivation being that by transmitting non-time-critical immediately following the transmitting said up-to-date position data.

bandwidth would not be wasted between time critical transmission between the 2 devices.

Regarding claims 3 and 4

Hagl discloses the method, wherein said further data is transmitted between the position measuring system and the processing unit (See Fig. 1, blocks 100 and 400).

Rehm discloses the method, in accordance with claim 1, further comprising transmitting a position request command for requesting said up-to-date position data (Column1, lines 16-24. Figure 2. RZ1 and RZ2 are time critical data) and always transmitting immediately following said position request command, further data (Non-time critical data of FZI immediately follow time critical data in figure 2) whose processing is not time-critical.

Regarding claims 5-7 and 9

Hagl discloses that said up-to-date position data and said position request command is transmitted in the form of digital data words of a pre-determined word length, or as data packets comprising digital data words (Sampling signals are amplified and converted into digital signals for a binary word. See column 3, lines 3-12).

Regarding claims 8 and 10

Hagl discloses that additional non-time-critical data comprises additional data and additional data commands (beside the position data, there is also other data request commands and other corresponding data to these data requests like status commands and their responses to commands A-F. See column 3, lines 59-67; See column 4, lines 1-42).

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 16

Hagl discloses the method wherein all data transmitted between the position measuring system and the processing unit is transmitted over a common data channel (See column 2, lines 23-24).

Regarding claim 17

Hagl discloses the method wherein data transmitted from said position measuring system to said processing unit are transmitted via a first data channel, and said data transmitted from said processing unit to said position measuring system are transmitted via second data channel (See Fig. 1, lines 500).

Regarding claims 18 and 19

The disclosed by Hagl further comprising storing said non-time-critical data (See Fig. 1, block 900; See column 4, lines 1-2).

Regarding claim 20

Hagl discloses the method that further comprises the steps of storing non-time-critical data transmitted by said position measuring system in a second memory unit of said processing unit (status command D can be used to send saved parameters at the processing unit to the position measurement device (see column 4, lines 33-35).

Regarding claim 21

Hagl discloses the method, further comprising transmitting memory unit status data, which contain at least information regarding an actual memory status of a memory unit (using command B, one can read or write data into memory. See column 4, lines 1-21).

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 28

Hagl discloses the method including steps wherein with said transmitting of either of said digital data words or data packets, a data word identification is transmitted, which unequivocally identifies a beginning and type of digital data word or data packet (start bit is used to identify the beginning of the word. Different types of parameters were also described. See column 4, lines 36-37, 55; See column 5, lines 25-26; See column 6, lines 53-67).

Regarding claim 30

Hagl does not specifically disclose a second control unit within processing unit as claimed herein. However, Rehm discloses the device in accordance with claim 29, wherein said processing unit (Figure 1, RZ1-2 processors) comprises a second control unit (Figure 1, RZ1-2 processors), which causes transmission of said position request command to said position measuring system for requesting position data (Column 1, lines 16-24) and, following said transmission of the position request command always causes said transmission of further data (Figure 2. RZ1 and RZ2 are time critical data. Non-time critical data of FZI immediately follow time critical data in figure 2), whose processing is not time-critical.

Regarding claims 38 and 50

Rehm discloses the method in accordance with claim 36, wherein parameters of said position measuring system are transmitted via said nontime-critical signals (Column 1, lines 25-30).

Regarding claims 39 and 51

Art Unit: 2616

Rehm discloses the method, in accordance with claim 36, wherein measured temperature values are transmitted via said non-time-critical signals (Column 1,lines 28. Non-critical signals can be many things like indicated by line 28 of column 1. This can be understood as temperature reading).

Regarding claim 40 and 52

Rehm disclosed the method in accordance with claim 36, wherein diagnostic data of said position measuring system are transmitted via said non-time-critical signals (Column 1,lines 28. Non-critical signals can be many things like indicated by line 28 of column 1).

Regarding claims 41 and 53

Rehm discloses the method in accordance with claim 36, wherein assignment information is transmitted or processed with each of said non-time-critical signals (Column 1,lines 28. Non-critical signals can be many things like indicated by line 28 of column 1).

Regarding claim 42

Rehm disclosed the method .in accordance with claim 36, further comprising requesting, via said processing unit, transmission or process of said non-time-critical signals from said position measuring system (Column 1, lines 16-30).

Regarding claim 43'and 54, Hagl and Rehm disclosed a method or device for serial data transmission between a position measuring system and a processing unit as described in claim 1 above.

Regarding claims 43 and 54

Hagl discloses data transmitted as a binary data word between the positionmeasuring device and the processing unit (See column .3, lines 3-6).

Regarding claim 44

Rehm disclosed the method in accordance with claim 3, wherein data transmitted from said position measuring system to said processing unit are transmitted via a first data channel (Figure 1. Column 1, lines 50-55), and said data transmitted from said processing unit to said position measuring system are transmitted via a second data channel (Figure 1. Column 1, lines 50=55).

Regarding claim 45

Rehm disclosed the method in accordance with claim 36, wherein up-to-date position data always occurs, between said non-time critical signals (Figure 2. RZ1 and RZ2 are time critical data, processed between non-time critical data of FZI as indicated in figure 2).

Regarding claim 48

Rehm disclosed the system in accordance with claim 47, further comprising:

A first data channel in communication with said position measuring system and said processing unit and transmitting data from said position measuring system to said processing unit (Column 1, lines 16-25; Column 3, lines 50-55); and a second data channel in communication with said position-measuring system and said processing unit and transmitting data from said processing unit to said position-measuring system (Column 1, lines 16-25; Column 3, 'lines 50-55).

Regarding claim 55

Art Unit: 2616

Rehm disclosed the method in accordance with claim 36, wherein said non-timecritical signals are chronologically distributed over several blocks (Figure 2, FZI blocks).

4. Claims 11-15, 25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hagl in view of Rehm and Kent, and in further view of Kurten (DE 4005087 Cl).

Regarding claims 11-15

The combined invention of Hagl and Rehm disclose a method or device for serial data transmission between a position measuring system and a processing unit as described in the rejection of claim 1 above.

Hagl and Rehm, however, fail to disclose the ability of interrupting the transmission of non-time-critical data upon detecting a position data request command.

Kurten teaches the ability of immediately interrupting processing a current process in responding to a more priority processing command and continuing with the current process once the more priority or urgent processing command is completed at a later time (See column 2, lines 38-42).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the interrupting feature as taught by Kurten into the combined invention by Hagl and Rehm. Thus, by incorporating the interrupting feature, a more urgent data can be responded immediately for parameters that are time sensitive on a priority basis and continuing with the non-time sensitive at a later time.

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claims 25 and 27

Hagl and Rehm disclose a method or device for serial data transmission between a position measuring system and a processing unit as described in the rejection of claim 1 above. Hagl & Rehm, however, fail to disclose the ability of interrupting the transmission of non-time-critical data upon detecting a position data request command and fail to disclose different position request commands can be assigned with different processing priorities. Kurten teaches the ability of immediately interrupting processing a current process in responding to a more priority processing command and continuing with the current process once the more priority or urgent processing command is completed at a later time (See column 2: lines 38-42). Kurten is silent about assigning different levels of priority to a message that are being processed in the order of assigned priority levels.

However, Kent teaches the ability of assigning different levels of priority to a message and messages are processed in the order of assigned priority levels (See column 7, lines 10-24).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the interrupting feature and to assign different priority levels in order to position request commands as taught by Kent. It would have motivated one skilled in the art, to be able to stop the current process and proceed with a more urgent and more priority request for a more time sensitive parameter.

Art Unit: 2616

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Afsar M. Qureshi whose telephone number is (571) 272 3178. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on (571) 272 7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AFSAR QURESHI PRIMARY EXAMINER

8/6/2006